Service Date: January 27, 2000

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

* * * * *

IN THE MATTER of the Complaint) UTILITY DIVISION of Customer Carpets,) DOCKET NO. D99.4.89

Complainant,) ORDER NO. 6224

-vs-) US WEST Communications, Inc.,) Defendant.)

PROPOSED ORDER

APPEARANCES

For the Complainant

William Fleischman, President, Customer Carpets, Inc., 701 Edith Street, Missoula, MT 59801

For the Respondent

John Alke, Attorney, Hughes, Kellner, Sullivan & Alke, P.O. Box 1166, Helena, MT 59624-1166

For the Public Service Commission

Robin A. McHugh, Staff Attorney, Montana Public Service Commission, 1701 Prospect Avenue, P.O. Box 202601, Helena, MT 59620-2601

Before:

Bob Rowe, Commissioner and Hearing Examiner

The Hearing Examiner, having taken evidence and being fully advised, issues the following Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Pursuant to § 2-4-621, MCA, and ARM 38.2.4802.

Background

- 1. Customer Carpets, Inc. (Customer Carpets) called US WEST Communications, Inc. (US West) and ordered two new business lines for their warehouse in Milltown, Montana. When the installation technician went out to install the lines, the warehouse contained no inside telephone wiring. William Fleischman of Customer Carpets helped the technician run the inside wiring. Customer Carpets' first bill for the telephone lines came to \$703.17 and Mr. Fleischman called to complain. He demanded an explanation in writing which, even after several calls, he did not receive. He filed a Complaint with the Montana Public Service Commission (hereafter PSC).
- 2. In the process of trying to settle the complaint, the Customer Carpets bill was explained to Mr. Fleischman by Tina Shorten of the PSC staff.
- 3. A hearing was held on June 23, 1999, in the Bollinger Room at the PSC with Commissioner Bob Rowe as hearing examiner. Mr. Fleischman appeared pro se for Complainant, Customer Carpets. John Alke of Hughes, Kellner, Sullivan & Alke appeared for the respondent, US West. Robin McHugh, staff attorney, appeared for the PSC. The following discussion, findings, and conclusions are a result of the testimony and evidence presented at the hearing.

Findings and Discussion

- 4. On April 8, 1999, The PSC issued a Notice of Complaint against US West. The complaint by Customer Carpets regarded billing for the installation of two lines at Customer Carpets' warehouse in Milltown, Montana. Customer Carpets claimed the bill was unreadable and that the final amount was excessive. The complaint alleged that Customer Carpets was not informed about the cost prior to installation.
- 5. On April 27, 1999, US West filed its response to the Complaint. In their general denial, US West stated that the order for the two lines was properly handled and the customer was given the applicable rates for the work requested.

6. At the prehearing conference, it was decided that the sole issue was whether US West complied with Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 38.5.3330 when it initiated service for complainant Customer Carpets. ARM 38.5.3330 reads as follows:

RATE AND SPECIAL CHARGES INFORMATION

- (1) The exchange carrier must provide all information and assistance needed by applicants, customers, or others to determine the lowest cost telecommunications service available from the exchange carrier that meets their stated needs. The exchange carrier must immediately provide a commission approved catalog of available services and prices in response to all service orders, at the request of customers, and as otherwise required by the commission.
- (a) Prior to taking any action or offering any service, the exchange carrier must notify customers of any connection charge or other charge and must provide an estimate of the initial bill for flat monthly services and other applicable charges. The carrier must give an applicant a written estimate of special charges for services not established by tariff such as construction charges which are levied on an actual cost basis.
- 7. Angela Jacobs, the small business sales consultant who took the Customer Carpets order testified that she quoted the price to Customer Carpets for the services ordered when Mr. Fleischman placed the order.¹
- 8. John Heberle, Manager, Customer Advocacy, US West, testified that he followed through on the PSC's initial inquiry into Mr. Fleischman's letter of complaint with a memo explaining the questioned charges.² The PSC received the memo, but it was not sent to Mr. Fleishman. He was made aware of it by Tina Shorten of the PSC staff.
- 9. Mr. Fleischman testified that it was possible that the charges were quoted and explained to him prior to the installation.³
- 10. Mr. Fleischman requested a written explanation of his bill several times with no response from US West. Angela Jacobs did call Mr. Fleischman but he was not satisfied with her explanation

¹ Deposition of Angela Michele Jacobs, June 16, 1999, pg. 12, line 23 – pg. 13, line 2: Transcript of Proceedings, June 23, 1999, pg. 27, lines 13-17.

² Transcript of Proceedings, June 23, 1999, pg. 32.

³ <u>Id.</u> at pg. 44, ll. 14–23; pg. 45, ll. 5-20; pg. 46, ll. 17-20.

because he requested it in writing and not by telephone. It was also explained to him by Tina Shorten, but still not by US West in writing as he requested.

- 11. The installation technician did not leave an invoice with Mr. Fleischman as is the policy of US West. The work charged on the bill, however, was the work completed by the technician in connection with the order placed by Mr. Fleischman for Customer Carpets.⁴
- 12. The Montana PSC is bound by the laws and administrative rules of Montana. The bill sent to Customer Carpets, while confusing, did comply with the requirements under Montana law. ARM 38.5.3332 in pertinent part reads:

<u>CUSTOMER BILLING</u> (1) Billing procedures.

- (a) Typed or machine-printed bills must be issued monthly, unless there are no charges during the month.
- (b) Residential and single-line business bills must itemize by tariff element the charges for all services. The bill must clearly provide the following information:
 - (i) payment due date;
 - (ii) total amount due, including taxes;
- (iii) the toll-free telephone number of the company's business office serving the customer;
- (iv) a statement that regulated services may not be disconnected for nonpayment of nonregulated services or services provided by other carriers, except for other carriers' regulated services that cannot be disconnected or discontinued separate from local service;
 - (v) the address and telephone number of the commission.
- 13. US West might have saved themselves and all parties a good deal of time and trouble had they responded in a timely manner and in writing to Mr. Fleischman's request for an explanation in writing. Unfortunately, they did not do so until directly requested to by Commissioner Rowe after the hearing. They did, however, follow the rules and laws of Montana in taking and installing Customer Carpets' order. Although the installation technician should have left an invoice, Customer Carpets received the service it ordered was billed pursuant to the rates in effect and on file with the PSC.

Conclusion of Law

1. The Montana PSC has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to §§ 69-3-102, 69-3-103, 69-3-321 and 69-3-330, MCA.

-

⁴ <u>Id.</u> at pg. 35, ll. 2-13.

Proposed Order

Based upon the information and evidence received, the Commission finds:

- 1. The record does not support a conclusion that Customer Carpets was not told the charges when the order was placed.
 - 2. USWC did charge Customer Carpets according to the filed tariff.
- 3. While the bill was difficult to read, the evidence is insufficient to conclude that US West violated ARM 38.5.3330.
 - 4. Therefore, the Commission finds that the complaint should be dismissed.

DONE AND DATED this 26th day of January, 2000. BY THE MONTANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BOB ROWE,	Commissioner	

NOTE:

This Proposed Order is a proposal for decision. Each party has the opportunity to file exceptions, present briefs, and have oral argument before the PSC prior to Final Order. See § 2-4-621, MCA. Exceptions and briefs must be filed within 20 days of the service date of this Proposed Order. Briefs opposing exceptions must be filed within 10 days thereafter. Oral argument, if requested, must be requested at or prior to the time of briefing. See ARM 38.2.4803 and 38.2.4804.